

82 Cal.App.5th 394c (2022)

**SHAO YAN CHEN et al., Plaintiffs and Respondents,
v.
VALSTOCK VENTURES, LLC, et al., Defendants and Appellants.**

No. A161885.

Court of Appeals of California, First District, Division Four.

August 24, 2022.

Appeal from the San Francisco City & County Super. Ct. No. CGC-18-566208.

[Modification of opinion (81 Cal.App.5th 957; ___ Cal.Rptr.3d ___).]

THE COURT.—Appellants' Request for Modification is granted in part and denied in part. It is ordered that the opinion filed herein on July 29, 2022, be modified as follows:

1. In footnote 14 on page 28 [81 Cal.App.5th 978, advance report, fn. 14, lines 4-6], the sentence, "Valstock appears to imply that this means the trial court here could not award attorney's fees for the declaratory judgment cause of action until after the conclusion of all appeals from a final judgment" is deleted and replaced with, "Although it clarified and disclaimed this position during oral argument, Valstock's citation to *580 Folsom* in its briefing appeared to imply that this means the trial court here could not award attorney's fees for the declaratory judgment cause of action until after the conclusion of all appeals from a final judgment."

There is no change in judgment.

Save trees - read court opinions online on Google Scholar.